RESEARCH ARTICLE SUMMARY

PRIMATE GENOMES

Genome-wide coancestry reveals details of ancient and recent male-driven reticulation in baboons

Erik F. Sørensen et al.

INTRODUCTION: As a widespread but comparatively young clade of six parapatric species, the baboons (Papio sp.) exemplify a frequently observed pattern of mammalian diversity. In particular, they provide analogs for the population structure of the multibranched prehuman lineage that occupied a similar geographic range before the hegemony of "modern" humans, Homo sapiens. Despite phenotypic and genetic differences, interspecies hybridization has been described between baboons at several locations, and population relationships based on mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) do not correspond with relationships based on phenotype. These previous studies captured the broad outlines of baboon population genetic structure and evolutionary history but necessarily used data that were limited in genomic and geographical coverage and therefore could not adequately document inter- and intrapopulation variation. In this study, we analyzed whole-genome sequences of 225 baboons representing all six species and 19 geographic sites, with 18 local populations represented by multiple individuals.

RATIONALE: Recent studies have identified several mammalian species groups in which genetically distinct lineages have hybridized to generate complex reticulate phylogenies. Baboons provide a valuable context for studying processes generating such population and phylogenetic complexity because extant parapatric species form hybrid zones in several regions of Africa, allowing for direct observation of ongoing introgression. Furthermore, prior studies of nuclear and mtDNA and phenotypic diversity have demonstrated gene flow among differentiated lineages but were unable to develop the detailed picture of process and history that is now possible using whole-genome sequences and modern computational methods. To address these questions, we designed a study that would provide a more fine-grained picture of recent and ancient genetic reticulation by comparing phenotypes and autosomal, X and Y chromosomal, and mtDNA sequences, along with polymorphic insertions of repetitive elements across multiple baboon populations.

RESULTS: Using deep whole-genome sequence data from 225 baboons representing multiple populations, we identified several previously unknown geographic sites of gene flow between genetically distinct populations. We report that yellow baboons (P. cynocephalus) from western Tanzania are the first nonhuman primate found to have received genetic input from three distinct lineages. We compared the ancestry shared among individuals, estim Check for updates separately from the X chromosome and asomes, to distinguish shared ancestry due

to ancestral population relationships from coancestry as a result of recent male-biased immigration and gene flow. This reveals directionality and sex bias of recent gene flow in several locations. Analyses of population differences within species quantified different degrees of interspecies introgression among populations with an essentially identical phenotype.

CONCLUSION: The population genetic structure and history of introgression among baboon lineages are even more complex than predicted from observed phenotypic diversity and prior studies of limited genetic data. Single populations can carry genetic contributions from more than two ancestral sources. Populations that appear homogeneous on the basis of observable phenotype can display different levels of interspecies introgression. The evolutionary dynamics and current structure of baboon population diversity indicate that other mammals displaying differentiated and geographically separate species may also have more-complex histories than anticipated. This may also be true for the morphologically defined hominin taxa from the past 4 million years.

All authors and affiliations appear in the full article online. Corresponding authors: Kyle K.-H. Farh (kfahr@illumina.com); Tomas Margues-Bonet (tomas.margues@upf.edu): Kasper Munch (kaspermunch@birc.au.dk); Christian Roos (croos@dpz.eu); Jeffrey Rogers (jr13@bcm.edu) Cite this article as E. F. Sørensen et al., Science 380, eabn8153 (2023). DOI: 10.1126/science.abn8153

READ THE FULL ARTICLE AT

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abn8153



KINDA BABOONS

Ancient and recent admixture among baboons: Complex population substructure and reticulation revealed by whole-genome sequencing. Pie charts represent recent ancestry of East African populations, with species contributions colored as in the inset map. Patterns of mixed ancestry differ substantially, even among conspecific populations. This suggests a complex history of recurrent interpopulational gene flow, driven predominantly by male migration. Comparably complex admixture probably also occurred among early hominins.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

PRIMATE GENOMES

Genome-wide coancestry reveals details of ancient and recent male-driven reticulation in baboons

Erik F. Sørensen¹†, R. Alan Harris²†, Liye Zhang³†, Muthuswamy Raveendran²†, Lukas F. K. Kuderna^{4,5}, Jerilyn A. Walker⁶, Jessica M. Storer⁷, Martin Kuhlwilm^{4,8,9}, Claudia Fontsere⁴, Lakshmi Seshadri³, Christina M. Bergey¹⁰, Andrew S. Burrell¹¹, Juraj Bergman^{1,12}, Jane E. Phillips-Conroy^{13,14}, Fekadu Shiferaw¹⁵, Kenneth L. Chiou^{16,17}, Idrissa S. Chuma¹⁸, Julius D. Keyyu¹⁹, Julia Fischer^{20,21,22}, Marie-Claude Gingras², Sejal Salvi², Harshavardhan Doddapaneni², Mikkel H. Schierup¹, Mark A. Batzer⁶, Clifford J. Jolly¹¹, Sascha Knauf²³, Dietmar Zinner^{20,21,22}, Kyle K.-H. Farh^{5*}, Tomas Marques-Bonet^{4,24,25,26*}, Kasper Munch^{1*}, Christian Roos^{3,27*}, Jeffrev Rogers^{2*}

Baboons (genus *Papio*) are a morphologically and behaviorally diverse clade of catarrhine monkeys that have experienced hybridization between phenotypically and genetically distinct phylogenetic species. We used high-coverage whole-genome sequences from 225 wild baboons representing 19 geographic localities to investigate population genomics and interspecies gene flow. Our analyses provide an expanded picture of evolutionary reticulation among species and reveal patterns of population structure within and among species, including differential admixture among conspecific populations. We describe the first example of a baboon population with a genetic composition that is derived from three distinct lineages. The results reveal processes, both ancient and recent, that produced the observed mismatch between phylogenetic relationships based on matrilineal, patrilineal, and biparental inheritance. We also identified several candidate genes that may contribute to species-specific phenotypes.

ur understanding of the evolutionary processes involved in the origin of biological diversity has changed considerably over the past two decades. Genetic analyses have demonstrated that hybridization and interspecies gene flow between closely related mammalian species occur more often than previously assumed (1, 2). Traditional studies of natural hybridization among populations and species have relied on phenotypic variation and a few informative genetic markers (3, 4). However, access to large-scale genomic datasets now allows more extensive analyses (5-7) demonstrating that, in some cases, complex reticulations rather than dichotomously branching phylogenetic trees more accurately represent evolutionary histories.

Among primates, humans included, the number of genera found to exhibit complex histories of interspecific reticulation has recently grown markedly (2, 8-12). Baboons (genus

Papio) have long been recognized as a prime example of interspecies gene flow, with several hybrid zones between the six currently recognized parapatric species [Guinea baboons (P. papio), hamadryas baboons (P. hamadryas), olive baboons (P. anubis), yellow baboons (P. cunocephalus), Kinda baboons (P. kindae), and chacma baboons (P. ursinus); Fig. 1; for the rationale behind the classification of these major forms as species rather than subspecies, see (13)] (14-17). Previous analyses have identified substantial discrepancies in species-level phylogenies inferred using information from nuclear DNA, mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), and phenotypes, indicating para- and polyphyletic relationships and suggesting a complex history of differentiation and admixture (18-21). Recent comparisons of whole-genome sequence (WGS) data across Papio species illustrated the extent of genetic exchange between phenotypically distinct species (22-25).

These studies were, however, restricted to one or two populations per species and therefore unable to analyze wider geographic patterns of genetic diversity or compare the local effects of interspecific contact.

This study provides a detailed WGS-based analysis of coancestry and genomic exchange across all six baboon species, including multiple populations within olive and yellow baboons. We generated deep [>30×: table S1 (13)] WGS data from 225 wild baboons representing 19 localities (Fig. 1 and table S2), describing variation within and among localities for autosomes, X and Y chromosomes, mtDNA, and other genetic features such as insertions of Alu repeats and long interspersed elements (LINEs). In addition to analyzing population structure using autosomal single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) and repetitive elements, we compared coancestry inferred from autosomal and X chromosomal data to reveal sex-biased effects on genetic population structure. Our results provide the most extensive analysis of genetic diversity in baboons to date and reveal processes, both recent and in the distant past, that resulted in the discrepancies documented among the phylogenetic relationships based on matrilineal, patrilineal, and biparental inheritance. The evidence indicates the radiation that produced the six extant species began more than 1 million years ago. The lineages that diverged around that time have since experienced extensive admixture, as reflected in their current genetic composition. We suggest that these findings inform predictions for similar systems such as hominin and early human evolution. for which baboons have long been recognized as a model (*26–29*).

Results

WGS analysis across multiple populations of baboons provides a fine-grained picture of present-day population structure and the evolutionary history that generated it. Results of this analysis also document additional locations of ongoing admixture among genetically distinct lineages. Our analyses of SNVs strongly support the existence of differentiated clades including the six recognized species, despite well-known hybrid zones between parapatric

¹Bioinformatics Research Centre, Aarhus University, 8000 Aarhus, Denmark. ²Human Genome Sequencing Center and Department of Molecular and Human Genetics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030, USA. ³Primate Genetics Laboratory, German Primate Center, Leibniz Institute for Primate Research, 37077 Göttingen, Germany. ⁴Institute of Evolutionary Biology (UPF-CSIC), PRBB, Dr. Aiguader 88, 08003 Barcelona, Spain. ⁵Artificial Intelligence Lab, Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA 92122, USA. ⁶Department of Biological Sciences, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA. ⁷Institute for Systems Biology, Seattle, WA 98109, USA. ⁸Department of Evolutionary Anthropology, University of Vienna, Austria. ¹¹Department of Anthropology, New York University of Vienna, 1030 Vienna, Austria. ¹¹⁰Department of Anthropology, New York University, School of Medicine in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA. ¹⁴Department of Anthropology, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO 63130, USA. ¹⁵Tecater Center Ethiopia, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. ¹⁶Center for Evolution and Medicine, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85281, USA. ¹¹School of Life Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85281, USA. ¹¹⁸Tanzania National Parks, Arusha, Tanzania. ¹⁹Tanzania Wildlife Research Institute, Arusha, Tanzania. ²⁰Cognitive Ethology Laboratory, German Primate Center, Leibniz Institute for Primate Research, 37077 Göttingen, Germany. ²²Leibniz ScienceCampus Primate Cognition, 37077 Göttingen, Germany. ²⁴Catalan Institution of Research and Advanced Studies (ICREA), Passeig de Lluis Companys, 23, 08010 Barcelona, Spain. ²⁵CNAG-CRG, Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG), Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Baldiri i Reixac 4, 08028 Barcelona, Spain. ²⁶Institut Catala de Paleontologia Miquel Crusafont, Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona, Edifici ICTA-ICP, cl Columnes s/n, 08193 Cerdanyola del Valles, Barcelona, Spain. ²⁷Gene Bank of Primates, German Primate Center, Leibniz Institute for P



Fig. 1. Distribution of the six baboon species and sampling sites. Species distributions are modified from (20). The inset map shows sampling sites in Tanzania. Numbers of samples per species are given in parentheses. [Illustrations of male baboons by Stephen Nash, used with permission]

species. The initial divergence of evolutionary lineages separates the three northern species (hamadryas, olive, and Guinea baboons) from the three southern species (Kinda, yellow, and chacma baboons). Analyses of population structure (Fig. 2, A to C, and figs. S1 to S4) and phylogenomic maximum-likelihood (ML) trees using autosomal, X and Y chromosomal, and mtDNA data (figs. S5 to S8) are consistent with the initial north-south split and with greater overall divergence among southern than northern baboons [see also (23)]. Principal components analyses (PCAs) and ML trees of autosomal and X chromosomal data separate the western Tanzanian vellow baboons located at Mahale and Katavi into their own cluster distinct from eastern Tanzanian vellow baboons from Mikumi, Selous, Ruaha, and Udzungwa as well as from Kinda baboons. However, the Y chromosomal phylogenies, including one based on Alu insertions (fig. S9), show six main clusters largely corresponding to the six species and place most western yellow baboons with Kinda baboons. Other western yellow baboons cluster in that analysis with eastern yellow and one olive baboon, providing a clear example of admixture processes not revealed by the whole-genome phylogeny.

Across the genome of each individual, we identified the most recent coancestry among all other sampled individuals [using Chromo-Painter (30)]. The corresponding first two principal components (Fig. 2C) show extensive variation among yellow baboons and confirm the primary north-south split. This split is also apparent in the clustering using

fineSTRUCTURE (*30*) (Fig. 2B). ML trees for autosomes and X and Y chromosomes (figs. S5 to S7) all support the conclusions reached by PCA, with two individuals falling outside their expected species clades [samples PD0266 and PD0662, also anomalous in the PCAs; figs. S1, S2, S10, and S11 (*13*)]. As discussed below, the Y chromosomal phylogeny places Kinda baboons basal to all others (fig. S7).

Unsupervised cluster algorithms group individuals largely by species (see ADMIXTURE analysis; Fig. 2D and fig. S12) with K = 7 as the preferred number of clusters. However, in species for which we sampled more than one population (olive and yellow baboons), we find local genetic differences and evidence for a complex evolutionary history (detailed discussion below). These results are also supported by an analysis of LINE-1 (L1) insertions (fig. S13), an independent class of genetic marker that is less prone to parallel mutations. The pelage phenotypes on which taxonomy was traditionally based are generally very consistent within species over wide geographic ranges (31). Yet we find high genomic variation within and among conspecific populations. Heterozygosity ranges from 0.0006 to 0.0026 (average: 0.0018) per base pair across the six species, and from 0.0006 to 0.0029 across the 19 localities, with the lowest values in Guinea baboons (table S3 and figs. S14 to S17). The coancestry matrix and its PCA (Fig. 2, B and C) differentiates the various sampling localities and is therefore consistent with the ADMIXTURE analysis (Fig. 2D), showing that the sampled populations within both yellow and olive baboons can be distinguished genetically. The yellow baboons in Mikumi (Fig. 2B, box H) share pelage and morphological phenotypes with those in Ruaha despite being genetically distinct. Western yellow baboons from Mahale and Katavi (Fig. 2B, box F) exhibit phenotypic traits (somewhat smaller body size than Mikumi baboons, especially in terms of cranial metrics; aspects of coat color, with some individuals having pink skin around the eyes and sporadic occurrence of white-furred infants) in which they resemble Kinda baboons (32). The coancestry matrix (Fig. 2B) further shows that yellow baboons from Mahale and Katavi (box F) exhibit greater genetic similarity with Kinda (box E) and chacma baboons (box G) than with their supposed conspecifics from eastern Tanzania (box H). Similarly, all olive baboons (except for those from Tarangire) share a very consistent pelage and external phenotype. However, ADMIXTURE (Fig. 2D) and ChromoPainter (Fig. 2B) analyses identify clear evidence of genetic differences between the Ethiopian Gog olive baboons and the Tanzanian olive baboons of Lake Manyara and Ngorongoro. Furthermore, the Serengeti population is more similar genetically to both the Gombe and Aberdare populations than to the Ngorongoro or Lake Manyara populations, which are geographically much closer.

We used the SNV data to reconstruct the history of population size for each baboon locality (Fig. 3A and figs. S18 to S21). The estimated effective population sizes (N_e) were all essentially the same and on the order of 100,000 until about 1.0 million to 1.2 million



Fig. 2. Population structure and coancestry of the six baboon species. (**A**) PCA of autosomal SNVs. (**B**) ChromoPainter coancestry matrix with fineSTRUCTURE dendrogram. Each row in the coancestry matrix represents an individual and illustrates how its most recent common ancestry is distributed across all other sampled individuals. The ordering of individuals is the same for rows and columns. The row color labels are the same as in (A) and correspond to clusters shown for eight populations labeled with boxes:

years ago, which is consistent with the prior dating of the initial north-south divergence (23). At the separation, the N_e of northern populations fell below that of the southern populations, supporting the idea that the genus arose in southern Africa, and a daughter population from this basal stock spread to the north, then to the west, losing genetic diversity in serial founding events. The suggestion that Guinea baboons represent the descendants of those groups that were at the leading edge of that dispersal for the longest distance and time (33) is supported by the lower heterozygosity in that sample relative to all other baboon species (table S3). Also, whole-genome Alu and L1 insertion-based phylogenies place western vellow baboons with Kinda baboons, whereas Guinea baboons are basal among baboons, and hamadryas baboons are the sister taxon to olive and southern baboons (figs. S22 and S23). These findings may result from Guinea baboons and, to a lesser extent, hamadryas baboons losing polymorphic derived *Alu* and L1 insertions through drift as they dispersed north from the southern geographic origin (*34*).

Earlier studies provided clear evidence for hybridization and gene flow across the contact zones between pairs of parapatric species (15–17, 24, 25, 35). In this study, we present evidence for additional ancient and recent arenas for gene flow between species pairs. Species tree reconstruction [ASTRAL (36)] using window-based ML trees (50- and 500-kb window size) produced inconsistent branching patterns among datasets, and only 58 to

A, Gog olive (Ethiopia); B, hamadryas; C, Guinea; D, southern olive (Kenya and Tanzania); E, Kinda; F, western yellow; G, chacma; H, eastern yellow; X, olive coancestry in western yellows suggesting admixture (see alternate fineSTRUCTURE figure, fig. S4). Color labels below the dendrogram represent the 14 groups named in the figure legend. (**C**) PCA of the coancestry matrix. (**D**) ADMIXTURE plot with the preferred grouping of baboons into seven clusters (K = 7; for K = 2 to 10, see fig. S12).

70% of gene trees fit the species tree at the quartet level (figs. S24 and S25). Both incomplete lineage sorting (ILS) and gene flow are likely contributing to this discordance, which is expected to be larger for smaller windows. In addition, a qualitative visualization of these trees (figs. S24 and S25) shows a networklike pattern, again indicating complexity. There is greater shared genetic drift (measured by f3 outgroup statistics) among eastern vellow baboon localities (Udzungwa, Selous, Mikumi, Ruaha), whereas western yellow baboons tend to cluster with Kinda baboons (fig. S26). In admixture graphs (Fig. 3B), Kinda baboons are, similarly to the description in (23), represented as a fusion product of populations from southern and ancestral northern clades, whereas the western yellow baboons share



Fig. 3. Population history and complex reticulation between baboon populations. (A) MSMC2 plots using a mutation rate of 0.9×10^{-8} and a generation time of 11 years (23). (**B**) Admixture graph of the populations used in this study, based on 48,730,011 single-nucleotide variants with data for all individuals, and a predefined number of two admixture events. Numbers on solid branches correspond to the estimated drift in f2 units of squared frequency difference; labels on dotted edges give admixture proportions.

ancestry with both Kinda and olive baboons. More complex graphs (tables S4 and S5 and figs. S27 to S29) might be supported, but they failed to give replicable results, likely owing to complex reticulation and multiple gene flow events at different times and between different local populations, which now obscure the processes involved.

Taken as a whole, this expanded dataset does not support the previous suggestion that Kinda baboons result from a recent fusion event (23) as shown in Fig. 3B. In PCA plots using genome-wide SNVs, Kinda baboons do not fall intermediate between northern and southern clades but in fact are quite distinct (Fig. 2A and figs. S1 and S2). Some ML trees

(i.e., Y chromosome data; fig. S7) place Kinda baboons as a sister clade to all other baboons. whereas other trees (autosomes and X chromosome data; figs. S5 and S6) lump them together with yellow and chacma baboons into the southern clade. These results are more consistent with the idea that Kinda baboons show substantial genetic similarity to both northern and southern clade baboons because they are basal and phenotypically resemble the ancestral form from which all extant species are derived. Fossil evidence suggests a southern African origin for baboons (34), and the mtDNA haplotypes of Kinda and western vellow baboons (Fig. 4 and fig. S8) (21) suggest that their range in tropical southern Africa may



(**C**) Globetrotter analysis of the eight major regional populations. The pie chart for each cluster shows ancestry contributions from other clusters. Expanded wedges represent ancestry that can be attributed to recent admixture (<56 generations, bootstrap P < 0.05). (**D**) Same as (C), but for 14 populations separating each major sampling location (here, expanded wedges represent ancestry that can be attributed to admixture more recent than 95 generations, bootstrap P < 0.05).

include the area of origin of both northern and southern primary branches. Broader aspects of Y chromosome data also do not support Kinda baboons as a fusion product; Kinda baboon Y haplotypes are found in western yellow baboons but not in olive baboons, and no olive baboon mtDNA has been observed in any Kinda baboon to date. Finally, Kinda baboons share more polymorphic *Alu* insertions with geladas than do other *Papio* species, possibly the result of a period of coexistence and hybridization between their ancestors (*37*).

We analyzed the genetic relationships among the eight major regional baboon populations that constitute our samples: the four singlelocality populations of chacma, Kinda, hamadryas,

Fig. 4. Geographic distribution of mtDNA clades and mtDNA phylogeny. (A) Distribution

ranges of baboon species and the four main mtDNA clades (south, southeast, northeast, northwest, dashed lines) including major mitochondrial lineages (A to R). (B) Phylogeny based on complete mtDNA genomes (see also fig. S8). Clade designation follows (20, 21), and asterisks indicate lineages from which mtDNA genomes have been generated in this study. For identical haplotypes, see table S7.



202

and Guinea baboons and two groups each of vellow (western and eastern) and olive (Gog and southern) baboons. By modeling the recent ancestry along the chromosomes of individual baboons [Globetrotter (38)], we can represent each group as a mixture of recent ancestry with the remaining seven groups (Fig. 3C). In most of the groups, we can identify a contribution from recent admixture events (the oldest identifiable event estimated at 56 generations; table S6) separate from contributions of older admixture and retention of ancestral polymorphism (bootstrap P <0.01 unless otherwise noted). In Fig. 3, C and D, we distinguish the recent admixture from more-ancient shared ancestry by showing the recent admixture estimates as expanded (exploded) wedges.

We identified a large amount of shared ancestry between southern olive and eastern yellow baboons not concordant with the overall phylogeny (Fig. 3C). This is also expressed in the coancestry matrix (Fig. 2B, box X) and is additional evidence of persistent admixture between both species (15, 17, 22, 25). Furthermore, western yellow baboons from Mahale and Katavi share substantial ancestry with eastern yellow, Kinda, and southern olive baboons. This cannot be explained as a retention of ancient shared variation present before the origin of the six major branches, because there is no equivalent sharing with chacma, hamadryas, or Guinea baboons. This finding is, therefore, the first evidence that a single population (western vellow baboons) contains measurable admixture contributions from more than two distinct lineages. Comparing the ancestry of recently admixing populations (expanded wedges in Fig. 3C) to that of each other group identifies recent admixture from Gog into southern olive baboons, between western and eastern yellow baboons, from southern olive baboons into eastern yellow baboons

(P = 0.04), between Kinda and chacma baboons (P = 0.02), and between Kinda and western vellow baboons. Repeating the Globetrotter analysis assuming 14 populations representing all major sampling locations differentiates olive and yellow baboon populations (Fig. 3D) and reveals a complex system of recent gene flow (all events < 95 generations) between: (i) olive baboon populations, (ii) yellow baboon populations, (iii) yellow and Tarangire olive baboons, (iv) western yellow and Gombe olive baboons, and (v) Tarangire olive baboons and Ruaha yellow baboons. These results do not imply direct migration of males (e.g., individual males moving from Gog to Serengeti) but rather, more plausibly, the overall consequences of many incremental gene flow events distributing alleles long distances over multiple generations.

This is not the first study to suggest that the history of genetic differentiation and reticulation among baboons is complex. Previous studies (10, 18-21, 33, 39, 40) showing widespread phenotype-mitochondrial discordance strongly suggest that nuclear swamping (i.e., the immigration of males into a phenotypically different population, largely or completely displacing the nuclear DNA composition and phenotype of the invaded population, without changing its mtDNA composition) has been a major contributing process. The present study found a similar discordance between the expanded mtDNA phylogeny (Fig. 4 and fig. S8) on the one hand and the new autosomal and Y-chromosomal phylogenies generated in this study on the other (figs. S5 and S7). Thus, our WGS findings strongly support previous suggestions, based only on mtDNA and phenotype data, that nuclear swamping has been a major factor generating the current pattern of baboon genetic and phenotypic variation.

The dense sampling of mtDNA provides important information about matrilineal ancestry.

Downloaded from https://www.science.org by ILS and admixture. To test the hypothesis that nuclear swamping produced the discord observed between mtDNA phylogenies and relationships derived from comparisons of phenotype, we contrasted ancestry proportions across the X chromosome and the similarly sized chromosome 8, each contributing thousands of individual genealogies. Admixture at Louisiana State University on June 02, by hemizygous males introduces disproportionately more autosomal than X chromosomal sequence, rendering shared X chromosome ancestry a better representation of deep species relationships before admixture. We found that the X chromosome of our chacma baboons derives more ancestry from yellow baboons than their chromosome 8 does (0.47 versus 0.62, paired *t* test, *P* = 0.005; Fig. 5A), suggesting that male-biased admixture from the ancestors of chacma baboons into the southern range of vellow baboons produced northern chacma baboons, including the grayfooted chacma baboons (P. ursinus grisiepes) that we analyze in this study. This observation is consistent with the close relationship between mtDNA found in southernmost yellow and northern chacma baboons (clade B in Fig. 4) (19, 40). The most compelling evidence of male-biased admixture is the relationship between western yellow and Kinda baboons. The ancestry profile of western yellow baboons (Fig. 5B) is very different from eastern yellow baboons (Fig. 5C). Western yellow baboons share more ancestry with Kinda baboons on the X chromosome than on chromosome 8 (0.27 versus 0.44, paired t test, P = 0.025), whereas Kinda baboons contain twice as much western yellow baboon ancestry on the X chromosome as on chromosome 8 (0.23 versus 0.55, paired t test, $P = 1.8 \times$ 10^{-13} ; Fig. 5D). Furthermore, eastern vellow baboons share more X chromosomal ancestry with western yellow baboons than

chromosome 8 ancestry (0.16 versus 0.20, paired *t* test, $P = 3.1 \times 10^{-9}$; Fig. 5B). Together these observations indicate that western yellow baboons were produced mainly from males carrying haplotypes that originated among eastern yellow and southern olive baboons migrating into the ancestral range of Kinda baboons, replacing Kinda baboon autosomes more than they replaced Kinda baboon X chromosomes. As a result, western yellow baboons carry genetic input from three distinct lineages.

In addition to patterns of shared ancestry among populations and species, we used two strategies to seek preliminary evidence for species-specific genetic adaptations in baboons. First, we used PLINK (41) to identify SNVs enriched in one species relative to all others (table S8). Genes containing possibly functional SNVs enriched in a given taxon were correlated with species phenotypes using Gene Ontology (GO) (42) terms and literature searches. We also used OmegaPlus (43) to test those gene regions for evidence of selective sweeps. Across all species, 1,342,371 SNVs met the criteria for being enriched in one particular spe-

cies, including 4337 missense and 76 stopgained SNVs (table S8). We next searched this list of candidates for genes annotated as influencing known traits of that species. Among them, SNV_1 (Table 1 and fig. S32), a missense variant in serine protease 8 (PRSS8), has a 0.96 allele frequency (AF) in hamadryas baboons and a 0.02 AF in the geographically adjacent Gog olive baboons (absent in other species). PRSS8 increases epithelial sodium channel activity and mediates sodium reabsorption through the kidneys (44). PRSS8 is under positive selection in the desert-adapted canyon mouse (Peromyscus crinitus) (45), and hamadryas baboons inhabit the most arid environment of all baboons (46). SNV 2 (Table 1 and fig. S33) has a 1.0 AF in both hamadryas and Guinea baboons and is absent from other species. This is a missense variant in neurexin 1 (NRXNI), which is associated with the GO term "social behavior." Nrxn1 knockout mice exhibit changes in male aggression (47). Guinea and hamadryas baboons differ from others in the genus in exhibiting a multilevel male-philopatric social organization with substantial male-male tolerance (29, 48).

This contrasts with the matrilineal, maledispersing social organization typical and likely ancestral for the genus. This observation is compatible with the speculation that until "swamped" by males from olive and yellow baboon populations, male-philopatric "pre-Guinea" and "prehamadryas" baboon populations occupied the northern savanna-woodland belt and much of the East African savanna-woodland corridor (33). SNV 3 (Table 1 and fig. S34) has a 1.0 AF in Kinda baboons and a 0.05 AF in yellow baboons (western yellow baboons and Ruaha) and one Serengeti olive baboon. This is a missense variant in the pigmentation-associated agouti signaling protein (ASIP). In mice, this gene affects melanin synthesis, shifting eumelanin production (black and brown hair) to phaeomelanin (red and yellow hair) (49). Kinda baboons display several distinctive coat color traits, including a substantial proportion of infants with white natal coats (16).

In our second approach to functional variation, we searched for genomic regions of elevated differentiation between pairs of closely related species [for details, see (13)]. We sought to



Fig. 5. Differential ancestry profiles on the X chromosome and an autosome. (A) Ancestry proportions of female chacma baboons. Each marker represents the fraction of total chromosome ancestry of one individual that is assigned to each of the remaining donor populations. Black dots and gray crosses represent ancestry proportions of chromosomes 8 and X, respectively. (B) Same as (A), but for female western yellow baboons. (C) Same as (A), but for female eastern yellow baboons. (D) Same as (A), but for female Kinda baboons. For additional profiles, see figs. S10, S30, and S31.

Table 1. Species enriched SNV statistics. Cluster and OmegaPlus statistics for the hamadryas and Guinea baboon shared SNV_2 are shown for hamadryas baboons. CADD and REVEL scores from human annotations predict functional impact of mutations (see supplementary materials).

SNV ID	SNV	PLINK P value	Cluster length (base pairs)	SNVs in cluster	OmegaPlus (percentile)	CADD PHRED	REVEL
SNV_1	20:27347531:G:T	1.40×10^{-78}	64,284	24	5.59 (1.8%)	0.001	0.351
SNV_2	13:49896439:G:C	9.72 × 10 ⁻¹⁰¹	126,701	96	11.01 (0.3%)	7.266	N/A
SNV_3	10:30107617:T:C	2.52 × 10 ⁻⁶⁹	39,912	58	4.92 (0.7%)	19.140	0.080

determine whether regions with the strongest evidence of differentiation (windows in the top 0.1%) were enriched for genes with particular GO terms. Genomic regions most distinct between Kinda and yellow baboons were enriched for genes linked to skeletal development and morphogenesis (P value adjusted for false discovery rate, $P = 1.77 \times 10^{-4}$; tables S9 to S11 and fig. S35), including limb development (e.g., embryonic forelimb morphogenesis, adjusted P = 0.02). This enrichment was driven by one region on chromosome 3 containing a HOXA gene cluster (fig. S36) and may influence the distinctively small size and gracile, long-limbed build of Kinda baboons (16). Genes linked to male sexual differentiation were also increased in regions highly differentiated between Kinda and yellow baboons (adjusted P = 0.0484), possibly related to the reduced sexual dimorphism in Kinda baboons (50).

Discussion

Our expanded whole-genome dataset provides several insights into genetic reticulation and the evolutionary history of multiple local populations of baboons. Previous work showed that gene flow occurs among phenotypically and genetically distinct baboon species and pointed to nuclear swamping as a major contributing process. Our study extends and adds higher resolution to this picture, using genetic data to confirm hybrid zones that were previously suspected from field observation of phenotypic variation alone. We also identify the first local population (western Tanzanian yellow baboons) that has clear evidence for genetic contributions from three genetically distinct lineages.

While our results substantially extend our knowledge of baboon evolutionary history, some gaps remain. The richness of evolutionary detail to be derived from denser sampling is indicated by our results from East African populations. More extensive genetic surveys are needed to document other regions with complex biogeographic and evolutionary history, including the olive-Guinea baboon interface in West Africa (21), and regions of southern Africa where chacma baboons have experienced both ancient and recent periods of genetic divergence and reticulation (39, 40). Other geographic regions, for example, the northern savanna-woodland belt west of our Gog population, have not been studied and would likely provide further information, especially regarding the origins and history of olive and Guinea baboons. Nevertheless, our dense sampling in East Africa clearly identifies previously unknown arenas of gene flow and documents the complexity of the evolutionary history of baboons in this region.

Our results lead to several substantive conclusions. With regard to methods, we find

that while comparison of mtDNA and phenotypic variation is effective in detecting nuclear swamping, analyses comparing levels of shared ancestry across the X chromosome to that across autosomes provide a more quantitative assessment of demographic processes and genetic history. Second, we conclude that Kinda baboons are not the product of a recent fusion event. Instead, they are more likely close to the basal ancestor of all extant baboons. Next, we find additional support for the prior observation that the primary separation of northern and southern baboon species is the result of dispersal from the south to the north, with Guinea baboons recognized as the most recent occupants of the leading edge of that dispersal. Despite the sharp gradient of phenotypes that is characteristic of baboon interspecies contact zones, gene flow distributes the introgressed alleles far from the regions of obvious hybridization. And finally, we report that extant western yellow baboons carry genetic contributions from three genetically different baboon lineages.

The patterns of local, regional, and specieslevel genetic structure in baboons are likely a valuable model for population structure in other primate clades that consist of multiple closely related species, such as African green monkeys [genus Chlorocebus (51)] and macaques [genus Macaca (52)]. Clades in other mammalian orders are also revealing complex, often reticulated, evolutionary histories similar to those of baboons [e.g., polar bears (53, 54), giraffes (7), and deer (55)]. The results for baboons also provide informative parallels and contrasts to the evolutionary differentiation and relationships among early human ancestors that arose, differentiated, and admixed over a time span remarkably similar to that of baboon cladogenesis (56).

Materials and methods summary

Extended materials and methods are presented in the supplementary materials. Descriptions of procedures used for sampling baboons in the wild, preparing and sequencing genomic libraries, analyzing variation among animals, and inferring phylogenetic relationships, as well as other aspects of study methods, are provided.

Samples and DNA sequencing

Blood samples from 225 baboons and two geladas were gathered in accordance with local regulations. Genomic DNA was extracted from blood, and libraries were prepared for sequencing on the NovaSeq 6000 platform (Illumina).

Variant calling and phasing

We used BWA-MEM to map reads to the Panu_3.0 baboon and the Mmul_10 rhesus assemblies. GATK was used to call variants following best practices. Panu_3.0 SNVs were phased using WhatsHap and SHAPEIT.

Population structure and phylogenetic analyses Population structure based on SNVs was ex-

amined using PCA, ADMIXTURE, and fast-STRUCTURE. Phylogenetic trees based on autosomal and sex chromosome SNVs and Geneious assembled mitochondrial genomes were generated using IQ-TREE and visualized with FigTree. Polymorphic mobile elements were identified using DELLY and MELT. STRUC-TURE and MELT were used to analyze population structure of L1 and *Alu* elements. PAUP was used to generate maximum parsimony trees from *Alu* and L1 elements. We used MSMC2 to infer baboon demographic history and population structure through time. Admixture graphs and *f*3 outgroup statistics were generated using ADMIXTOOLS 2.

Inference of most recent coancestry along each chromosome

ChromoPainter was used to infer the most recent coancestry along chromosomes, and fineSTRUCTURE was used to identify relationships between individuals on the basis of their most recent coancestry. We used Globetrotter to compute P values for a coancestry contribution from recent admixture.

Functional variation

Functional genetic variation among study animals was examined using PLINK for association analyses and OmegaPlus for identification of selective sweeps. We performed differentiation-based scans for selection using windowed $F_{\rm ST}$ values.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- M. L. Arnold, Y. Sapir, N. H. Martin, Genetic exchange and the origin of adaptations: Prokaryotes to primates. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London Ser. B* 363, 2813–2820 (2008). doi: 10.1098/ rstb.2008.0021; pmid: 18522920
- R. R. Ackermann *et al.*, Hybridization in human evolution: Insights from other organisms. *Evol. Anthropol.* 28, 189–209 (2019). doi: 10.1002/evan.21787; pmid: 31222847
- N. H. Barton, G. M. Hewitt, Analysis of hybrid zones. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 16, 113–148 (1985). doi: 10.1146/annurev. es.16.110185.000553
- T. E. Dowling, C. L. Secor, The role of hybridization and introgression in the diversification of animals. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 28, 593–619 (1997). doi: 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.28.1.593
- M. de Manuel et al., The evolutionary history of extinct and living lions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 117, 10927–10934 (2020). doi: 10.1073/pnas.1919423117; pmid: 32366643
- S. Lamichhaney et al., Female-biased gene flow between two species of Darwin's finches. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 4, 979–986 (2020). doi: 10.1038/s41559-020-1183-9; pmid: 32367030
- R. T. F. Coimbra *et al.*, Whole-genome analysis of giraffe supports four distinct species. *Curr. Biol.* **31**, 2929–2938 e5 (2021). doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2021.04.033; pmid: 33957077
- M. L. Arnold, A. Meyer, Natural hybridization in primates: One evolutionary mechanism. *Zoology* **109**, 261–276 (2006). doi: 10.1016/i.zool.2006.03.006; pmid: 16945512
- R. E. Green et al., A draft sequence of the Neandertal genome. Science 328, 710–722 (2010). doi: 10.1126/science.1188021; pmid: 20448178
- D. Zinner, M. L. Arnold, C. Roos, The strange blood: Natural hybridization in primates. *Evol. Anthropol.* **20**, 96–103 (2011). doi: 10.1002/evan.20301; pmid: 22034167
- J. Tung, L. B. Barreiro, The contribution of admixture to primate evolution. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 47, 61–68 (2017). doi: 10.1016/j.gde.2017.08.010; pmid: 28923540
- C. Fontsere, M. de Manuel, T. Marques-Bonet, M. Kuhlwilm, Admixture in mammals and how to understand its functional implications: On the abundance of gene flow in mammalian

species, its impact on the genome, and roads into a functional understanding. *BioEssays* **41**, e1900123 (2019). doi: 10.1002/ bies.201900123; pmid: 31664727

- 13. Detailed information is provided in the supplementary materials.
- U. Nagel, A comparison of anubis baboons, hamadryas baboons and their hybrids at a species border in Ethiopia. *Folia Primatol.* (*Basel*) **19**, 104–165 (1973). doi: 10.1159/000155536; prmid: 4201907
- J. Tung, M. J. E. Charpentier, D. A. Garfield, J. Altmann, S. C. Alberts, Genetic evidence reveals temporal change in hybridization patterns in a wild baboon population. *Mol. Ecol.* 17, 1998–2011 (2008). doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03723.x; prnid: 18363664
- C. J. Jolly, A. S. Burrell, J. E. Phillips-Conroy, C. Bergey, J. Rogers, Kinda baboons (*Papio kindae*) and grayfoot chacma baboons (*P. ursinus griseipes*) hybridize in the Kafue river valley, Zambia. *Am. J. Primatol.* **73**, 291–303 (2011). doi: 10.1002/ajp.20896; pmid: 21274900
- M. J. E. Charpentier et al., Genetic structure in a dynamic baboon hybrid zone corroborates behavioural observations in a hybrid population. *Mol. Ecol.* 21, 715–731 (2012). doi: 10.1111/ j.1365-294X.2011.05302.x; pmid: 21988698
- D. E. Wildman et al., Mitochondrial evidence for the origin of hamadryas baboons. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 32, 287–296 (2004). doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2003.12.014; pmid: 15186814
- D. Zinner, L. F. Groeneveld, C. Keller, C. Roos, Mitochondrial phylogeography of baboons (*Papio* spp.): Indication for introgressive hybridization? *BMC Evol. Biol.* 9, 83 (2009). doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-9-83; pmid: 19389236
- D. Zinner, J. Wertheimer, R. Liedigk, L. F. Groeneveld, C. Roos, Baboon phylogeny as inferred from complete mitochondrial genomes. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **150**, 133–140 (2013). doi: 10.1002/ajpa.22185; pmid: 23180628
- C. Roos et al., New mitogenomic lineages in Papio baboons and their phylogeographic implications. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 174, 407–417 (2021). doi: 10.1002/ajpa.24186; pmid: 33244782
- J. D. Wall et al., Genomewide ancestry and divergence patterns from low-coverage sequencing data reveal a complex history of admixture in wild baboons. *Mol. Ecol.* 25, 3469–3483 (2016). doi: 10.1111/mec.13684; pmid: 27145036
- J. Rogers *et al.*, The comparative genomics and complex population history of *Papio* baboons. *Sci. Adv.* **5**, eaau6947 (2019). doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aau6947; pmid: 30854422
- K. L. Chiou et al., Genome-wide ancestry and introgression in a Zambian baboon hybrid zone. *Mol. Ecol.* **30**, 1907–1920 (2021). doi: 10.1111/mec.15858; pmid: 33624366
- T. P. Vilgalys et al., Selection against admixture and gene regulatory divergence in a long-term primate field study. *Science* **377**, 635–641 (2022). doi: 10.1126/science.abm4917; prnid: 35926022
- C. J. Jolly, A proper study for mankind: Analogies from the Papionin monkeys and their implications for human evolution. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **116** (suppl. 33), 177–204 (2001). doi: 10.1002/ajpa.10021; pmid: 11786995
- S. Elton, Forty years on and still going strong: The use of hominin-cercopithecid comparisons in palaeoanthropology. J. R. Anthropol. Inst. 12, 19–38 (2006). doi: 10.1111/ j.1467-9655.2006.00279.x
- C. J. Jolly, "Analogies and models in the study of the early hominins" in *Early Hominin Paleoecology*, M. Sponheimer, J. A. Lee-Thorp, K. E. Reed, P. S. Ungar, Eds. (Colorado Univ. Press, 2013), pp. 437–455.
- J. Fischer et al., Insights into the evolution of social systems and species from baboon studies. eLife 8, e50989 (2019). doi: 10.7554/eLife.50989; pmid: 31711570
- D. J. Lawson, G. Hellenthal, S. Myers, D. Falush, Inference of population structure using dense haplotype data. *PLOS Genet.* 8, e1002453 (2012). doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002453; pmid: 22291602
- C. J. Jolly, "Species, subspecies, and baboon systematics" in Species, Species Concepts, and Primate Evolution, W. H. Kimbel, L. B. Martin, Eds. (Plenum, 1993), pp. 67–101.
- M. V. Anandam et al., "Family Cercopithecidae (Old World monkeys) – species accounts of Cercopithecidae" in Handbook of the Mammals of the World, Vol. 3 Primates, R. A. Mittermeier, A. B. Rylands, D. E. Wilson, Eds. (Lynx, 2013), pp. 628–753.
- C. J. Jolly, Philopatry at the frontier: A demographically driven scenario for the evolution of multilevel societies in baboons (Papio). J. Hum. Evol. 146, 102819 (2020). doi: 10.1016/ j.jhevol.2020.102819; pmid: 32736063
- C. C. Gilbert, S. R. Frost, K. D. Pugh, M. Anderson, E. Delson, Evolution of the modern baboon (*Papio hamadryas*): A reassessment of the African Plio-Pleistocene record. J. Hum. Evol. 122, 38–69 (2018). doi: 10.1016/j.ihevol.2018.04.012; pmid: 29954592

- T. J. Bergman, J. E. Phillips-Conroy, C. J. Jolly, Behavioral variation and reproductive success of male baboons (*Papio* anubis x Papio hamadryas) in a hybrid social group. Am. J. Primatol. **70**, 136–147 (2008). doi: 10.1002/ajp.20467; pmid: 17724672
- C. Zhang, M. Rabiee, E. Sayyari, S. Mirarab, ASTRAL-III: Polynomial time species tree reconstruction from partially resolved gene trees. *BMC Bioinformatics* **19** (suppl. 6), 153 (2018). doi: 10.1186/s12859-018-2129-y; pmid: 29745866
- J. A. Walker et al., Alu insertion polymorphisms shared by Papio baboons and Theropithecus gelada reveal an intertwined common ancestry. Mob. DNA 10, 46 (2019). doi: 10.1186/ s13100-019-0187-y; pmid: 31788036
- G. Hellenthal *et al.*, A genetic atlas of human admixture history. *Science* 343, 747–751 (2014). doi: 10.1126/science.1243518; pmid: 24531965
- R. Sithaldeen, J. M. Bishop, R. R. Ackermann, Mitochondrial DNA analysis reveals Plio-Pleistocene diversification within the chacma baboon. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **53**, 1042–1048 (2009). doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2009.07.038; pmid: 19665055
- C. Keller, C. Roos, L. F. Groeneveld, J. Fischer, D. Zinner, Introgressive hybridization in southern African baboons shapes patterns of mtDNA variation. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **142**, 125–136 (2010). pmid: 19918986
- S. Purcell *et al.*, PLINK: A tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **81**, 559–575 (2007). doi: 10.1086/519795; pmid: 17701901
- Gene Ontology Consortium, The Gene Ontology resource: Enriching a GOld mine. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 49, D325–D334 (2021). doi: 10.1093/nar/gkaa1113; pmid: 33290552
- N. Alachiotis, A. Stamatakis, P. Pavlidis, OmegaPlus: A scalable tool for rapid detection of selective sweeps in whole-genome datasets. *Bioinformatics* 28, 2274–2275 (2012). doi: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/bts419; pmid: 22760304
- T. Narikiyo et al., Regulation of prostasin by aldosterone in the kidney. J. Clin. Invest. 109, 401–408 (2002). doi: 10.1172/ JCI0213229; pmid: 11828000
- J. P. Colella et al., Limited evidence for parallel evolution among desert-adapted Peromyscus deer mice. J. Hered. 112, 286–302 (2021). doi: 10.1093/[hered/esab009; pmid: 33686424
- D. Zinner *et al.*, Comparative ecology of Guinea baboons (*Papio papio*). *Primate Biol.* 8, 19–35 (2021). doi: 10.5194/ pb-8-19-2021; pmid: 34109265
- H. M. Grayton, M. Missler, D. A. Collier, C. Fernandes, Altered social behaviours in neurexin 1α knockout mice resemble core symptoms in neurodevelopmental disorders. *PLOS ONE* 8, e67114 (2013). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0067114; pmid: 23840597
- L. Swedell et al., Female "dispersal" in hamadryas baboons: Transfer among social units in a multilevel society. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 145, 360–370 (2011). doi: 10.1002/ajpa.21504; pmid: 21469076
- J. Voisey, A. van Daal, Agouti: From mouse to man, from skin to fat. *Pigment Cell Res.* 15, 10–18 (2002). doi: 10.1034/ j.1600-0749.2002.00039.x; pmid: 11837451
- M. Petersdorf, A. H. Weyher, J. M. Kamilar, C. Dubuc, J. P. Higham , Sexual selection in the Kinda baboon. *J. Hum. Evol.* **135**, 102635 (2019). doi: 10.1016/j.jhevol.2019.06.006; pmid: 31421317
- H. Svardal et al., Ancient hybridization and strong adaptation to viruses across African vervet monkey populations. *Nat. Genet.* 49, 1705–1713 (2017). doi: 10.1038/ng.3980; pmid: 29083404
- Y. Song et al., Genome-wide analysis reveals signatures of complex introgressive gene flow in macaques (genus Macaca). Zool. Res. 42, 433–449 (2021). doi: 10.24272/j.issn.2095-8137.2021.038; pmid: 34114757
- J. A. Cahill *et al.*, Genomic evidence for island population conversion resolves conflicting theories of polar bear evolution. *PLOS Genet.* 9, e1003345 (2013). doi: 10.1371/ journal.pgen.1003345; pmid: 23516372
- J. A. Cahill et al., Genomic evidence of widespread admixture from polar bears into brown bears during the last ice age. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 35, 1120–1129 (2018). doi: 10.1093/molbev/ msy018; pmid: 29471451
- F. J. Combe, L. Jaster, A. Ricketts, D. Haukos, A. G. Hope, Population genomics of free-ranging Great Plains white-tailed and mule deer reflects a long history of interspecific hybridization. *Evol.* Appl. 15, 111–131 (2021). doi: 10.1111/ eva.13330; pmid: 35126651
- Y. Liu, X. Mao, J. Krause, Q. Fu, Insights into human history from the first decade of ancient human genomics. *Science* **373**, 1479–1484 (2021). doi: 10.1126/science.abi8202; pmid: 34554811

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank all countries and their respective governmental and nongovernmental institutions that supported sampling and sample analysis. Specifically, we thank the government of the United Republic of Tanzania, Ministry for Education and Vocational Training, Commission for Science and Technology, Ministry for Natural Resources and Tourism, Ministry for Agriculture, Natural Resources, Livestock and Fisheries, Department of Forestry and Non-renewable Natural Resources, Tanzania Wildlife Authority, Tanzania Wildlife Research Institute, Tanzania National Parks (Y. A. Kiwango, R. Kaitila, I. A. V. Lejora), Ngorongoro Conservation Area Authority, Sokoine University of Agriculture (R. R. Kazwala), National Institute for Medical Research (C. C. Lubinza, S. G. M. Mfinanga), Jane Goodall Institute (I. F. Lippende, D. A. Collins), and the Greater Mahale Ecosystem Research and Conservation Project (A. Piel, F. A. Stewart). In Zambia, we thank the government of Zambia, the Zambia Wildlife Authority (J. Chulu, E. Matokwani; Chilanga), the staff of Kafue National Park, and the Department of Veterinary and Livestock Development (Y. Sinkala, Lusaka). In Ethiopia, we thank the government of Ethiopia, the Ethiopian Public Health Institute (E. Abate, Addis Ababa), the Guinea Worm Fradication Program of The Carter Center (1 Zingeser F Ruiz-Tiben Z Tadesse: Addis Ababa) as well as J. Else, H. Marshall, and the logistics team in Gog Woreda. In Senegal, we thank the Diréction des Parcs Nationaux and Ministère de l'Environnement et de la Protéction de la Nature de la République du Sénégal for permission to work in the Niokolo Koba National Park. We particularly thank former conservators of the park Colonel O. Kane and Commandant M. Gueve for their cooperation and logistical support during the study period and all the staff and field assistants of the CRP Simenti, in particular M. Faye, A. Louis Nyafouna, E. Dansokho, L. Diedhiou, M. Dieng, and T. Sonko, for their support in the field. Funding: This work was funded by "Ia Caixa" Foundation (ID 100010434), fellowship code LCF/BO/PR19/11700002 (M.K.); the Vienna Science and Technology Fund (WWTF) (10.47379/VRG20001) (M.K.); German Research Foundation grants FI707/9-1, KN1097/3-1/3-1, KN1097/4-1, ZI548/5-1, and RO3055/2-1 (J.F., S.K., D.Z., and C.R.); Novo Nordisk Foundation grant 0058553 (E.F.S. and K.M.); R01 GM59290 (M.A.B.); and internal funding from Baylor College of Medicine (J.R.). T.M.B. is supported by funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant 864203), PID2021-126004NB-100 (MICIIN/FEDER, UE) and Secretaria d'Universitats i Recerca and CERCA Programme del Departament d'Economia i Coneixement de la Generalitat de Catalunya (GRC 2021 SGR 00177). Author contributions: Conceptualization: K.K.-H.F., T.M.-B., C.R., and J.R. Data curation: E.F.S., R.A.H., L.Z., M.R., and L.F.K.K. Formal analysis: E.F.S., R.A.H., L.Z., M.R., J.A.W., J.M.S., M.K., C.F. L.S., C.M.B., A.S.B., J.B., K.M., and C.R. Funding acquisition: K.K.-H.F., T.M.-B., K.M., C.R., and J.R. Investigation: E.F.S., R.A.H., L.Z., M.R., L.F.K.K., J.A.W., J.M.S., M.K., C.F., L.S., C.M.B., A.S.B., J.B., M.H.S., M.A.B., C.J.J., K.M., and C.R. Methodology; M.K., C.F., C.M.B., M.-C.G., S.S., H.D., M.A.B., S.K., D.Z., T.M.-B., K.M., C.R., and J.R. Project administration: K.M., C.R., and J.R. Resources and sample acquisition: C.M.B., A.S.B., J.E.P.-C., F.S., K.L.C., I.S.C., J.D.K., J.F., C.J.J., S.K., D.Z., C.R., and J.R. Supervision: T.M.-B., K.M., C.R., and J.R. Visualization: E.F.S., R.A.H., L.Z., J.A.W., J.M.S., M.K., C.F., C.M.B., D.Z., K.M., and C.R. Writing - original draft: E.F.S., R.A.H., L.Z., J.A.W., M.K., C.F., C.M.B., C.I.J., D.Z., T.M.-B., K.M., C.R., and J.R. Writing review & editing: All authors. Competing interests: L.F.K.K. and K.K.-H.F. are employees of Illumina Inc. All other authors declare that they have no competing interests. Data and materials availability: The sequencing data used in these analyses are available through the Short Read Archive under BioProject accession PRJEB49549. Additional data are available in the supplementary materials. License information: Copyright © 2023 the authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original US government works. https://www. science.org/about/science-licenses-journal-article-reuse

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

science.org/doi/10.1126/science.abn8153 Materials and Methods Supplementary Text S1 to S5 Figs. S1 to S36 Tables S1 to S11 References (57–114) MDAR Reproducibility Checklist

Submitted 23 December 2021; accepted 27 September 2022 10.1126/science.abn8153



Genome-wide coancestry reveals details of ancient and recent male-driven reticulation in baboons

Erik F. Srensen, R. Alan Harris, Liye Zhang, Muthuswamy Raveendran, Lukas F. K. Kuderna, Jerilyn A. Walker, Jessica M. Storer, Martin Kuhlwilm, Claudia Fontsere, Lakshmi Seshadri, Christina M. Bergey, Andrew S. Burrell, Juraj Bergman, Jane E. Phillips-Conroy, Fekadu Shiferaw, Kenneth L. Chiou, Idrissa S. Chuma, Julius D. Keyyu, Julia Fischer, Marie-Claude Gingras, Sejal Salvi, Harshavardhan Doddapaneni, Mikkel H. Schierup, Mark A. Batzer, Clifford J. Jolly, Sascha Knauf, Dietmar Zinner, Kyle K.-H. Farh, Tomas Marques-Bonet, Kasper Munch, Christian Roos, and Jeffrey Rogers

Science, **380** (6648), eabn8153. DOI: 10.1126/science.abn8153

View the article online https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.abn8153 Permissions https://www.science.org/help/reprints-and-permissions

Use of this article is subject to the Terms of service

Science (ISSN) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science. 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. The title Science is a registered trademark of AAAS.

Copyright © 2023 The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works